



Unveiling the implications of ionizing radiation in pediatric urology

Stuart Bauer*

Department of Urology, University College London, London, United Kingdom

✉ **Stuart Bauer**

Department of Urology,
University College London,
London, United Kingdom
E-mail: Bauer98@ucl.ac.uk

Received: 31-Mar-2023, Manuscript No. PUCR-23-98622; **Editor assigned:** 3-Apr-2023, PreQC No. PUCR-23-98622 (PQ); **Reviewed:** 19-Apr-2023, QC No. PUCR-23-98622; **Revised:** 28-Apr-2023, Manuscript No. PUCR-23-98622 (R); **Published:** 05-May-2023, DOI: 10.14534/j-pucr.20222675609

Description

Ionizing radiation plays a vital role in the diagnosis and management of various medical conditions, including pediatric urology. However, the increasing use of ionizing radiation in medical imaging has raised concerns about potential risks, particularly in pediatric patients. This short communication aims to highlight the implications of ionizing radiation in pediatric urology patients and emphasize the need for judicious use and alternative imaging modalities to minimize radiation exposure. **Pediatric Urology and Ionizing Radiation:** Pediatric urology deals with the diagnosis and treatment of urinary tract disorders and genital abnormalities in children. Imaging techniques such as X-rays, Computed Tomography (CT), and fluoroscopy are commonly employed to aid in the diagnosis and management of these conditions. These imaging modalities utilize ionizing radiation, which carries the risk of harmful effects, especially in young patients who are more susceptible to radiation-related damage due to their developing tissues[1].

Radiation Risks in Pediatric Patients: The main concern associated with ionizing radiation in pediatric urology patients is the potential risk of radiation-induced malignancies. Children have a higher lifetime risk of developing radiation-induced cancers compared to adults due to their longer life expectancy and increased sensitivity to radiation. The cumulative radiation dose from repeated imaging studies over the course of their medical care further contributes to this risk. Additionally, pediatric patients often require imaging at a younger age, increasing the potential for long-term effects to manifest during their lifetime. **Alternative Imaging Modalities:** In order to minimize radiation exposure in pediatric urology patients, alternative imaging modalities should be considered whenever feasible. Ultrasound is a radiation-free imaging technique that can provide valuable information for many urologic conditions. It is particularly useful for evaluating the kidneys, bladder, and genital organs. Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) is another valuable modality that can provide detailed anatomical information without utilizing ionizing radiation. Although MRI may be more expensive and time-consuming, its benefits in terms of avoiding radiation exposure are significant, especially in pediatric patients. **Radiation Dose Reduction Strategies:** When ionizing radiation-based imaging is necessary, radiation dose reduction strategies should be implemented to minimize the risks. Pediatric-specific imaging protocols should be followed, utilizing lower radiation doses tailored to the size and age of the child. Dose optimization techniques, such as iterative reconstruction algorithms, can further reduce radiation exposure while maintaining diagnostic

image quality. Additionally, the use of shielding and collimation techniques can help limit the radiation field to the specific area of interest, minimizing exposure to surrounding healthy tissues. Education and Awareness: Another critical aspect in reducing radiation exposure in pediatric urology patients is education and awareness among healthcare providers. Clinicians should be aware of the potential risks associated with ionizing radiation and the cumulative effect of repeated imaging studies. They should weigh the benefits and risks of each imaging modality and consider alternative options when appropriate. Moreover, involving parents and caregivers in shared decision-making processes and providing them with information about radiation risks can help foster a collaborative approach to minimizing radiation exposure [2-7].

Conclusion

Ionizing radiation plays a crucial role in the diagnosis and management of pediatric urology conditions. However, the potential risks associated with radiation exposure, including the development of radiation-induced malignancies, cannot be overlooked. To mitigate these risks, healthcare providers should strive to minimize radiation exposure by utilizing alternative imaging modalities, adopting radiation dose reduction strategies, and promoting education and awareness among all stakeholders. By prioritizing the principles of radiation safety, it can be ensured that the best possible care for pediatric urology patients while safeguarding their long-term health.

References

- [1]Lukasiewicz A, Bhargavan Chatfield M, Coombs L, et al. Radiation dose index of renal colic protocol CT studies in the United States: a report from the American College of Radiology National Radiology Data Registry. *Radiology.* 2014;271(2):445-451.
- [2]Kambadakone AR, Eisner BH, Catalano OA, et al. New and evolving concepts in the imaging and management of urolithiasis: urologists' perspective. *Radiographics.* 2010;30(3):603-623.
- [3]Tonolini M, Valconi E, Vanzulli A, et al. Radiation overexposure from repeated CT scans in young adults with acute abdominal pain. *Emergency radiology.* 2018;25:21-27.
- [4]Cerwinka WH, Grattan-Smith JD, Kirsch AJ. Magnetic resonance urography in pediatric urology. *Journal of Pediatric Urology.* 2008;4(1):74-83.
- [5]Salim A, Sangthong B, Martin M, et al. Whole body imaging in blunt multisystem trauma patients without obvious signs of injury: results of a prospective study. *Archives of Surgery.* 2006;141(5):468-475.
- [6]Ferrandino MN, Bagrodia A, Pierre SA, et al. Radiation exposure in the acute and short-term management of urolithiasis at 2 academic centers. *The Journal of urology.* 2009;181(2):668-673.
- [7]Passerotti C, Chow JS, Silva A, et al. Ultrasound versus computerized tomography for evaluating urolithiasis. *J Urol Balt.* 2009;182:1829-1834.